# Analysis of Financial Position and Performance of Public and Private Sector Banks in India: A Comparative Study on SBI and HDFC Bank

Jyotirmoy Koley Assistant Professor (W.B.E.S.) P.G. Department of Commerce, Hooghly Mohsin College E-mail: jyotirmoykoley@yahoo.co.in

#### Abstract

Indian banking sector is an important component of Indian financial system. It has a strong impact on the economic development and growth of the nation. The present study is made to measure the financial position, performance and efficiency of the largest public sector bank (SBI) and private sector bank (HDFC). The objective of the study is to identify financial position and performance of the selected banks and to examine whether any significant difference exists in their performance. The study is based on secondary data which has been collected from annual reports of the selected banks covering a period of five years from 2013-14 to 2017-18. The CAMEL model has been used to assess the financial strength of the selected banks. T-test has been used on the important parameters like capital adequacy, asset quality, management efficiency, earnings ability and liquidity to draw the conclusion the study.

Key Words: Indian Banking Sector, Financial Performance and CAMEL Model.

#### Introduction

The improvement financial system is the key to the economic development of a nation. The Banking sector is one of the vital components of the financial system. The sector provides financial services not only to the industry but also to the agriculture and household sectors. It also plays important role in formation of capital in the India Banking sector has a great economy. contribution in the economic growth of the nation. Reserve Bank of India (RBI) is the apex body of the Indian Banking sector. It ensures the stability in the monetary system of the country. Since independence, RBI has initiated several measures to improve more access to financial services through financial education, awareness and technological up gradations in an affordable manner. The performance of the banking sector is supposed to be a crucial economic active of Indian economy. So, the reforms in banking sector are intended to make the banks more efficient. However, the Banking sector is facing alarming challenges like rising in competition, level of Non-Performing Assets and weakening asset quality. These may have a negative impact on the economy of the nation.

This study deals with the analysis of the financial position and performance of public sector bank (SBI) and private sector bank (HDFC) in India.

The article has been divided into eight sections. Section II covers literature review. Research gap has been mentioned in section III. Section IV and V contain objective and materials & methods of the study. Financial performance of SBI and HFDC bank has been highlighted in the section VI. Analysis and discussion is made in section VII. Finally, section VIII concludes the study along with findings.

#### Literature Review

Several studies have been conducted by many academicians and researchers in this related area of performance analysis of public sector and private sector banks in India. Some of these literatures are shown below:

Goel and Rekhi (2013) attempted to measure the relative performance of Indian public sector and private sector banks. They concluded that the efficiency and profitability are interrelated and the performances of private sector banks are better than public sector banks in India.

Karri, H.K. et al. (2015) analyzed the performance of banks from the important parameters like capital adequacy, asset quality, management efficiency, earning ability and liquidity with the help of CAMEL model.

Nagarkar (2015) examined the performance of major five public, private and foreign sector banks with the help of principle component analysis technique. He found that commercial banks mostly depend on deposits for providing credit. So, Commercial banks need to check their credit appraisal process to reduce the non-performing assets and regain the faith of depositors as key to banks' success.

Mistry and Savani (2015) classified Indian private sector banks on the basis of their financial characteristics and analyzed their financial performance. They found that return on assets and interest income have a negative correlation with operational efficiency whereas, positive correlation with asset utilization and asset size. They also revealed that operational efficiency, asset management and bank size have an impact on the financial performance of the Indian private sector banks.

Sodhi and Waraich (2016) made a fundamental analysis with the help of key financial ratios to identify the value of stocks of the selected banks and their investment opportunities. They found that private and foreign banks are trying to improve their performance due to increasing completion in the banking sector.

Majumder and Rahman (2016) measured the financial performance of the fifteen selected banks in Bangladesh and identified the significant difference in their performances for the period 2009-2013. The suggested that the lower ranking banks should take necessary steps to improve their weaknesses.

Balaji and Kumar (2016) examined and compared the overall financial performance of selected public and private sector banks in India during the period 2011-12 to 2015-16 with help of mean and T-Test . They concluded that public sector banks must redefine their strategies by considering their strengths, weakness and operating market.

Taqi and Mustafa (2018) analyzed the growth and performance of Punjab National Bank and HDFC bank for the period 2006-07 to 2015-16. They made quantitative analysis and found that PNB is more financially sound that HDFC but in context of deposits and expenditure HDFC has better managing efficiency.

#### **Research Gap**

From the above literature review, it appears that over the years, various attempts have been made by the researchers and academicians to evaluate the financial position and performance of public sector banks and private sector banks from different aspects of CAMEL model. But there is no seminal work made on the analysis of the financial position of SBI and HDFC from public sector banks and private sector banks respectively in India. So, the present study has tried to highlight this untouched area.

#### **Objective of the Study**

The objective of the study is to analyze and compare the financial position and performance of public sector bank (SBI) and private sector bank (HDFC) in India.For the purpose of this study, SBI from public sector banks and HDFC bank from private sector banks have been selected as they have the largest market capitalization at present.

#### Database

The present study is analytical in nature. It is purely based on the secondary data. The data have been collected from various research articles, journals, annual reports of SBI and HDFC and web based resources.

#### Methodology

The CAMEL model is followed to measure the relative financial position and performance of the banks. RBI adopted the model in 1996 by the recommendations of Padmanabham Committee (1995). Apart from CAMEL model, statistical tools like Mean and t-test have been used to assess the performance of banks from each of the important parameters like capital adequacy, asset quality, management efficiency, earning ability and liquidity to draw the logical conclusions.

#### **Study Period**

The study covers a period of five years from 2013-14 to 2017-18.

# Hypothesis

 ${\rm H}_{\rm o}$ : There is no significant difference in the financial position and performance of SBI and HDFC bank in India

 $\mbox{H}_1$ : There is significant difference in the financial position and performance of SBI and HDFC bank in India

#### **Financial Performance**

Financial Performance of SBI and HDFC bank has been shown below with the help of following tables by using various parameters like total income, net interest income, operating profit and net profit over the last five years from the year 2013-14 to 2017-18.

Table-1: Total income of SBI and HDFC Bank
during the year 2013-14 to 2017-18
Rs in Crore

					1.5. 111 C	iore
Partic	201	201	201	201	201	Mea
ulars	3-14	4-15	5-16	6-17	7-18	n
SBI	154	174	191	210	265	1995
	904	973	843	979	100	60
HDFC	490	574	709	816	954	7091
	55	66	73	02	62	1.6

Source: Annual Reports of SBI and HDFC of various years

From the above table it has been observed that the total income of both SBI and HDFC has been increasing over the years but the increasing rate of HDFC bank is higher than SBI. But the mean of total income of SBI is higher than HDFC.

# Table-2: Net interest income of SBI and HDFC Bank during the year 2013-14 to 2017-18

					KS. III	crore
Particu	201	201	201	201	201	Mea
lars	3-	4-	5-	6-	7-	n
	14	15	16	17	18	
SBI	492	550	571	618	748	5964
	82	15	95	60	54	1.2
HDFC	184	223	275	331	400	2834
	83	96	91	39	95	0.8

Source: Annual Reports of SBI and HDFC of various years

From the above table is seen that net interest income of both SBI and HDFC has been increasing over the years and mean of net interest income of SBI is higher than HDFC.

# Table- 3: Operating profit of SBI and HDFC Bank during the year 2013-14 to 2017-18

	Rs. In Crore								
Particu	201	201	201	201	201	Mea			
lars	3-	4-	5-	6-	7-	n			
	14	15	16	17	18				
SBI	321	395	432	508	595	4505			
	09	37	58	48	11	2.6			
HDFC	143	174	213	257	326	2229			
	60	04	64	32	25	7			

Source: Annual Reports of SBI and HDFC of various years

From the above table is observed that operating profit has a hiking trend for both SBI and HDFC over the years and mean of operating profit of SBI is higher than HDFC.

Table-4:Net profit of SBI and HDFC Bank during the year 2013-14 to 2017-18

-			Rs. in Crore				
Particu	201	201	201	201	201	Mea	
lars	3-	4-	5-	6-	7-	n	
	14	15	16	17	18		
SBI	108	131	995	104	-	7576.	
	91	02	1	84	654	2	
					7		
HDFC	847	102	122	145	174	1260	
	8	16	96	50	87	5.4	

Source: Annual Reports of SBI and HDFC of various years

From the above table it is observed that net profit of SBI has a fluctuating trend i.e. both increasing and decreasing trend over the last five years from 2013-14 to 2017-18 but SBI has incurred net loss in the year 2017-18. On the other hand, HDFC has a continuous increasing trend in its net profit over the last five years. Mean of net profit of HDFC is also higher than SBI.

#### **Analysis and Discussion**

The CAMEL model and its parameters are shown and discussed below on the basis of secondary data to measure the financial performance of the selected banks.

Short	Parameters of	Ratio of Measuring
Form	CAMEL	CAMEL Parameters
С	Capital	Capital Adequacy Ratio
	Adequacy	Debt Equity Ratio
А	Asset Quality	Asset Turnover Ratio
		Loan Ratio
		Net NPA to Net
		Advance Ratio
М	Management	Credit Deposit Ratio
	Efficiency	Net Profit per
		Employee
E	Earning Ability	Net Profit Ratio
		Dividend per Share
		Earnings per Share
		Return on Net worth
		Return on Assets
		Interest Spread Ratio
L	Liquidity	Current Ratio
		Liquid Assets to Total
		Assets Ratio
		Liquid Assets to Total
		Deposit Ratio

#### Table-5:CAMEL Model

#### **Capital Adequacy**

It indicates whether the bank has enough capital to absorb unexpected losses. It maintains the depositors' confidence and prevents the bank from bankruptcy. It indicates the overall financial condition of banks and the ability of management to meet the requirement of additional capital. The following ratios are considered in the present study for the assessment of capital adequacy of the selected banks.

# Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR):

This ratio measures the ability of the bank regarding absorption of losses arising from the risk weighted assets. It is a measurement of Tire-1 and Tire-II capital to the aggregate of risk weighted assets. CAR = (Tire 1 Capital + Tire 2 Capital) / Risk Weighted Assets:

Table- 6: Group	Statistics of	of CAR
-----------------	---------------	--------

Group Statistics									
					Std.				
Bank				Std.	Error				
DdllK				Deviati	Mea				
		Ν	Mean	on	n				
Capital	SBI	E	12.65	17171	.2123				
Adagua		5	40	.4/4/4	1				
Auequa	HDF	E	15.55	01206	.4087				
Cy Rallo	С	Э	20	.91230	3				

Source: Compiled by researcher

Independe	Independent Samples Test									
Levene Test for Equality Varianc			e's or ty of ces	t-test fo	or Equali	ty of Mea	ns			
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2- tailed)	Mean Differenc e	Std. Error Differenc e	95% Confid Interval of t Difference	ence he Upper
Capital	Equal variance s assumed	2.03 1	.192	- 6.292	8	.000	-2.89800	.46059	-3.96011	- 1.83589
y Ratio	Equal variance s not assumed			- 6.292	6.01 2	.001	-2.89800	.46059	-4.02447	- 1.77153

#### Table- 7: Independent Samples Test on CAR

Source: Compiled by researcher

Observation: From the above table-7, it has been observed that the significant p value is 0.192 greater than 0.05 than equal variance assumed is 0.001 less than 0.05 than Null hypothesis is Rejected.

# Debt-Equity Ratio:

Bank's financial leverage is measured by this ratio. It is the proportion of total external liabilities to net worth. The ratio indicates how much portion of the bank's business is financed by debt and how much portion is financed through equity. Higher ratio signifies less protection for creditors and depositors of the bank. DE Ratio=Debt/Net worth

# Table- 8: Group Statistics on Debt-Equity Ratio

Group Statistics									
					Std.				
Bank				Std.	Error				
		Ν	Mean	Deviation	Mean				
Debt	SBI	5	1.7452	.28220	.12621				
Equity	HDFC	F	9700	17740	07025				
Ratio		5	.8700	.17743	.07935				

Indeper	ndependent Samples Test									
Levene's Test for Equality of Variances			t-test for	t-test for Equality of Means						
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2- tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	95% Con Interval Differen	fidence of the ce
									Lower	Upper
Debt	Equal variances assumed	.572	.471	5.871	8	.000	.87520	.14908	.53143	1.21897
Equity Ratio	Equal variances not assumed			5.871	6.735	.001	.87520	.14908	.51986	1.23054

Table- 9: Independent Samples Test on Debt-Equity Ratio

Observation: From the above table-9, it is seen that the significant p value is 0.471 greater than 0.05 than equal variance assumed is 0.001 less than 0.05 than Null hypothesis is Rejected.

# Asset Quality

It indicates the types of advance made by the bank to generate interest income. The bank provides credit at a lower rate to the highly rated companies compare to lower rated doubtful companies. It determines the nature of debtors of bank. This ratio helps the bank to decide the financial risk and potential losses attached with their various assets. The following ratios are considered in this study to assess the asset quality of the selected banks.

# Asset Turnover Ratio

It determines the efficiency of the bank in asset utilization. It is measured by dividing sales with total assets.

Table- 10: Group Statistics on Asset Turnover

Ratio

Group Statistics										
Bank		Ν	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean					
Asset	SBI	5	8.1184	.50527	.22596					
Turnover Ratio	urnover atio HDFC		9.6282	.43096	.19273					

Source: Compiled by researcher

Independent Samples Test										
		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means						
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2- tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	95% Conf Interval o Differenc	idence If the e
Asset	Equal variances assumed	.113	.745	-5.084	8	.001	-1.50980	.29699	- 2.19467	82493
Turnover Ratio	Equal variances not assumed			-5.084	7.806	.001	-1.50980	.29699	- 2.19764	82196

# Table- 11: Independent Samples Test on Asset Turnover Ratio

Observation: From the above, it is seen that the significant p value is 0.745 greater than 0.05 than equal variance assumed is 0.001 less than 0.05 than Null hypothesis is Rejected.

## Loan Ratio

The ratio measures the financial position of banks and its ability to meet outstanding loans. It is calculated by dividing amount of loans with total assets.

#### Table- 12: Group Statistics on Loan Ratio

Group	Statistics
Group	Statistics

Bank		N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean				
Loan	SBI	5	11.2340	1.54089	.68911				
Ratio	Ratio HDFC		8.6594	1.67965	.75116				
		~							

Source: Compiled by researcher

Indepe	Independent Samples Test											
Levene's Test for Equality of Variances				t-test for	t-test for Equality of Means							
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2- tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	95% Con Interval Differen	fidence of the ce		
									Lower	Upper		
Loon	Equal variances assumed	.003	.959	2.526	8	.035	2.57460	1.01937	.22393	4.92527		
Ratio	Equal variances not assumed			2.526	7.941	.036	2.57460	1.01937	.22090	4.92830		

Source: Compiled by researcher

Observation: From the above, it is seen that the significant p value is 0.959 greater than 0.05 than equal variance assumed is 0.036 less than 0.05 then Null hypothesis is Rejected.

# Net NPA to Net Advance Ratio

The ratio measures the proportion of bad loans of the bank out of total advances given. Higher ratio signifies the bank's inability to recover the loan and that leads to huge capital losses. So, lower ratio is expected to be positive for bank. It is calculated by dividing total NPA with total advances.

#### Table- 14: Group Statistics on Net NPA to Net Advance Ratio

Group Statistics									
Bank		N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean				
Net NPA	SBI	5	3.5880	1.40033	.62625				
to Net Advance Ratio	HDFC	5	.3060	.06025	.02694				

Source: Compiled by researcher

Independ	ent Samples Test									
		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means						
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2- tailed)	Mean Differenc	Mean Std. Error Differenc Differenc		95% Confidence Interval of the Difference
							C		Lower	Upper
Net NPA to Net	Equal variances assumed	6.181	.038	5.236	8	.001	3.28200	.62683	1.83654	4.72746
Advanc e Ratio	Equal variances not assumed			5.236	4.015	.006	3.28200	.62683	1.54418	5.01982

Observation: it is observed that the significant p value is 0.038 lower than 0.05 than equal variance assumed is 0.006 less than 0.05 then null hypothesis is rejected.

# **Management Efficiency**

The growth and survival of bank is ensured by the management efficiency. It evaluates the management quality to assign premium to better quality and discount to the poor quality management. This parameter analyses the efficiency of the management in generating business and maximizing profits. The following ratios are considered in the present study to assess the management efficiency of the selected banks.

# **Credit Deposit Ratio**

This ratio shows the proportion of lending out of its total deposit mobilization. It indicates the ability of the bank to convert its deposits into high earning advances. It is calculated by dividing total advances with total customer deposits.

Group Statistics								
Bank		N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean			
Credit	SBI	5	.8040	.06195	.02770			
Deposit Ratio	HDFC 5		.8366	.02011	.00899			

# Table- 16: Group Statistics on Credit Deposit Ratio

Source: Compiled by researcher

Independ	Independent Samples Test										
		Levene's Equality Variance	Test for of es	t-test for	t-test for Equality of Means						
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2- tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	95% Con Interval Differen	fidence of the ce	
								L	Lower	Upper	
Credit	Equal variances assumed	7.287	.027	-1.119	8	.296	03260	.02913	- .09977	.03457	
Deposit Ratio	Equal variances not assumed			-1.119	4.834	.316	03260	.02913	- .10825	.04305	

# Table- 17: Independent Samples Test on Credit Deposit Ratio

Source: Compiled by researcher

Observation: it is observed that the significant p value is 0.027 lower than 0.05 than equal variance assumed is 0.316 greater than 0.05 then null hypothesis is accepted.

# **Net Profit per Employee**

It reveals the productivity and efficiency of human resources of bank. It is arrived at by dividing net profit with total number of employees.

# Table- 18: Group Statistics on Net Profit Per

Employee

Group Statistics								
Bank		N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean			
Net Profit	Net Profit SBI		.0364	.03415	.01527			
Per Employee	HDFC	5	.1460	.03847	.01720			

 Table- 19: Independent Samples Test on Net Profit per Employee

Independent Samples Test								
	ie's or ity of	t-test for	Equality	y of Mear	15			
	Variances							
	F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2- tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference

									Lower	Upper
Net Profit	Equal variances assumed	.122	.736	-4.764	8	.001	10960	.02301	- .16265	- .05655
Per Employee	Equal variances not assumed			-4.764	7.889	.001	10960	.02301	- .16278	- .05642

Observation: it is seen that the significant p value is 0.736 greater than 0.05 than equal variance assumed is 0.001 less than 0.05 then null hypothesis is rejected.

# **Earning Ability**

It reflects the profitability of a bank. It also explains the sustainability and growth of earning in future. Higher earnings indicate the healthy performance of a bank. Generation of adequate earnings is the key to exits in long run for a bank. The following ratios are considered in the present study for the assessment of earning ability of the selected banks.

#### **Net Profit Ratio**

It shows the operational efficiency of a business. Increasing ratio indicates better performance and

decreasing ratio shows inefficiency in management and excessive operational expenses. It is calculated by dividing net profit with total income.

Table- 20: Group	o Statistics on	<b>Net Profit Ratio</b>
------------------	-----------------	-------------------------

Group Statistics										
Bank		Ν	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean					
Net	SBI	5	4.9866	2.97580	1.33082					
Profit Ratio	HDFC	5	17.7064	.42391	.18958					

Source: Compiled by researcher

Indepe	ndependent Samples Test												
		Levene'	s Test										
		for Equa	for Equality of		t-test for Equality of Means								
		Variance	es										
									95% Confid	dence			
		E	Sig	+	qt	Sig. (2-	Mean	Std. Error	Interval of	the			
		Г	Sig.	L	u	tailed)	Difference	Difference	Difference				
									Lower	Upper			
	Equal												
	variances	3.565	.096	-9.462	8	.000	-12.71980	1.34425	-	-			
Net	assumed								12.01900	9.01994			
Profit	Equal												
Ratio	variances			0.462	1 162	001	12 71090	1 24425	-	-			
	not			-9.402	4.102	.001	-12.71960	1.34423	16.39536	9.04424			
	assumed												

Table- 21: Independent Samples Test on Net Profit Ratio

Source: Compiled by researcher

Observation: it is seen that the significant p value is 0.096 greater than 0.05 than equal variance assumed is 0.001 less than 0.05 then null hypothesis is rejected.

#### **Dividend per Share**

It indicates the dividend earned by each shareholder in hand. Higher the ratio higher is the operational efficiency of the business. It is calculated by dividing dividend in equity share capital with number of equity shares.

## Table- 22: Group Statistics on Dividend per Share

Group Statistics									
Bank		N	Mea n	Std. Deviati on	Std. Error Mean				
Divide	SBI	5	7.740 0	12.512 31	5.595 68				
nd per Share	HDF C	5	9.670 0	2.4304 3	1.086 92				

Independe	ent Samples	Test									
		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means							
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2- tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	95% Confid Interval of Difference	dence the	
									Lower	Upper	
Dividend	Equal variances assumed	4.199	.075	339	8	.744	-1.93000	5.70026	- 15.07483	11.21483	
per Share	Equal variances not assumed			339	4.301	.751	-1.93000	5.70026	- 17.32854	13.46854	

Observation: it is seen that the significant p value is 0.075 greater than 0.05 than equal variance assumed is 0.751 greater than 0.05 then null hypothesis is accepted.

#### **Earnings per Share**

It indicates return earned by each shareholder. This ratio measures the market worth of the shares of a business. Higher ratio shows better prospects of the bank. It is calculated by dividing earning available to equity shareholders with numbers of equity shares.

Table-	24	Groun	Statistics	٥n	Farnings	ner Share
I abie-	24	Junoup	Juanstics	UII	Lainings	per silare

Group Statistics									
Bank		N	Mean	Std. Deviati on	Std. Error Mean				
Earnin	SBI	5	38.61 00	66.775 21	29.862 78				
gs per Share	HDF C	5	50.28 00	12.655 70	5.6598 0				

Source: Compiled by researcher

Independ	ent Samples	Test										
		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test fo	t-test for Equality of Means							
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2- tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	95% Confid Interval of Difference	dence the		
-									Lower	Opper		
Earnings	Equal variances assumed	4.118	.077	384	8	.711	-11.67000	30.39439	- 81.75959	58.41959		
per Share	Equal variances not assumed			384	4.287	.719	-11.67000	30.39439	- 93.87637	70.53637		

#### Table- 25: Independent Samples Test on Earnings per Share

Source: Compiled by researcher

Observation: it is seen that the significant p value is 0.077 greater than 0.05 than equal variance assumed is 0.719 greater than 0.05 then null hypothesis is accepted. This ratio shows the relation between net profit and capital employed of the business. It determines operational efficiency and overall profitability of the business. Maximization of return of net worth is the prime objective any

#### **Return on Net worth**

business. The result of ratio indicates the extent of the achievement of that objective. It is calculated by dividing net profit with net worth.

# Table- 26: Group Statistics on Return on Net worth

Group S	Group Statistics										
				C+4	Std.						
Bank		Ν	Mean	Deviation	Error						
				Deviation	Mean						
Return	SBI	5	6.3740	4.00815	1.79250						
on Net	Vet HDEC		17 1 2 1 2	1 25124	C0424						
worth	HDFC	5	17.1212	1.55154	.00454						

Source: Compiled by researcher

#### Table- 27: Independent Samples Test on Return on Net worth

Indepen	dent Sample	es Test										
	Levene for Equ of Vari	e's Test uality ances	t-test f	t-test for Equality of Means								
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2- tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	95% Confid Interval of Difference	dence the		
						,			Lower	Upper		
Return on Net worth	Equal variances assumed	2.867	.129	- 5.681	8	.000	-10.74720	1.89163	- 15.10932	- 6.38508		
	Equal variances not assumed			- 5.681	4.898	.003	-10.74720	1.89163	- 15.64049	- 5.85391		

Source: Compiled by researcher

Observation: it is observed that the significant p value is 0.129 greater than 0.05 than equal variance assumed is 0.003 less than 0.05 then null hypothesis is rejected.

#### **Return on Asset**

It signifies the operational efficiency in asset utilization by the management and profitability on the assets of the business. It is a general measure of managerial performance to assess the conversion of assets into earnings. It is calculated by dividing net profit with total assets.

#### Table- 28: Group Statistics on Return on Asset

Group Statistics									
Bank		N Mean		Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean				
Return	SBI	5	.4020	.35096	.15695				
on Asset	HDFC	5	1.9500	.05831	.02608				

Source: Compiled by researcher

Indepen	ident Sample	es Test										
		Levene's Equality Variance	Test for of s	t-test for	t-test for Equality of Means							
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2- tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	95% Conf Interval o Differenc	idence f the e		
									Lower	Upper		
Return on Asset	Equal variances assumed	3.325	.106	-9.730	8	.000	-1.54800	.15910	- 1.91489	- 1.18111		

#### Table- 29: Independent Samples Test on Return on Asset

Equal variances not assumed	-9.730	4.221	.000	-1.54800	.15910	- 1.98078	- 1.11522
--------------------------------------	--------	-------	------	----------	--------	--------------	--------------

Observation: it is observed that the significant p value is 0.106 greater than 0.05 than equal variance assumed is 0.000 less than 0.05 then null hypothesis is rejected.

#### **Interest Spread Ratio**

Spread is the difference between the interest incomes and interest expended. Higher ratio indicates better earning ability of the business. It is determined as a percentage of total assets.

# Table- 30: Group Statistics on Interest Spread

Ratio

Group Statistics									
Bank		Ν	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean				
Interest	SBI	5	2.4598	.25147	.11246				
Spread Ratio	HDFC	5	3.8096	.05489	.02455				

Source: Compiled by researcher

Independ	Independent Samples Test											
		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means								
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2- tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	95% Conf Interval o Differenc	idence f the e		
									Lower	Upper		
Interest	Equal variances assumed	12.009	.009	-11.726	8	.000	-1.34980	.11511	- 1.61524	- 1.08436		
Spread Ratio	Equal variances not assumed			-11.726	4.380	.000	-1.34980	.11511	- 1.65874	- 1.04086		

Source: Compiled by researcher

Observation: it is observed that the significant p value is 0.009 less than 0.05 than equal variance assumed is 0.000 less than 0.05 then null hypothesis is rejected.

# Liquidity

The liquidity measures the bank's ability to meet the short term financial obligations. Adequate liquidity position can be achieved when the business can obtain sufficient liquid fund either by converting its assets into cash or increasing liability. Higher ratio indicates that the business is wealthier. The following ratios are considered to assess the liquidity of the selected banks.

# **Current Ratio**

It measures the sufficiency of current assets to pay off the current liabilities. It helps the bank to determine its working capital requirement. The ratio is calculated by dividing current assets with current liabilities.

# Table- 32: Group Statistics on Current Ratio

Group Statistics										
				Std	Std.					
Bank		N	Mean	Doviation	Error					
				Deviation	Mean					
Current	SBI	5	2.0426	.28010	.12527					
Ratio HDFC		5	2.0932	.80919	.36188					
	•	~								

Independent Samples Test											
		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means							
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2- tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	95% Conf Interval c Differenc	idence of the e	
									Lower	Upper	
Current	Equal variances assumed	2.173	.179	132	8	.898	05060	.38295	93368	.83248	
Ratio	Equal variances not assumed			132	4.945	.900	05060	.38295	- 1.03830	.93710	

Table- 33: Independent Samples Test on Current Ratio

Observation: it is observed that the significant p value is 0.179 greater than 0.05 than equal variance assumed is 0.9 greater than 0.05 then null hypothesis is accepted.

# Liquid Assets to Total Assets Ratio

It measures overall liquidity position of a business. It is calculated by dividing liquid assets with total assets.

# Table- 34 :Group Statistics on Liquid Assets to Total Assets Ratio

Group Statistics									
Bank		Ν	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean				
Liquid	SBI	5	6.7926	.83126	.37175				
Assets to Total Assets Ratio	HDFC	5	7.3830	2.54349	1.13749				

Source: Compiled by researcher

Independent Samples Test												
Levene's Equality Variance			Test for of	t-test for	-test for Equality of Means							
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2- tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	95% Conf Interval o Difference	idence f the e		
									Lower	Upper		
Liquid Assets	Equal variances assumed	4.180	.075	493	8	.635	59040	1.19669	- 3.34998	2.16918		
Total Assets Ratio	Equal variances not assumed			493	4.845	.643	59040	1.19669	- 3.69646	2.51566		

Source: Compiled by researcher

Observation: it is observed that the significant p value is 0.075 greater than 0.05 than equal

variance assumed is 0.643 greater than 0.05 then null hypothesis is accepted.

# Liquid Assets to Total Deposit Ratio

It indicates the ability of the bank to meet its deposit obligations with available liquid funds. Higher ratio signifies better ability of the bank. It is calculated by dividing liquid assets with total deposit.

# Table- 36: Group Statistics on Liquid Assets to Total Deposit Ratio

Group Statistics									
Bank		N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean				
Liquid	SBI	5	8.8996	1.15317	.51571				
Asset									
to									
Total	HDFC	5	9.8290	3.51469	1.57182				
Deposit									
Ratio									

Source: Compiled by researcher

# Table- 37: Independent Samples Test on Liquid Assets to Total Deposit Ratio

Independent Samples Test											
		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means							
		F	Sig.	t df Sig. (2- Mean tailed) Difference		Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference			
									Lower	Upper	
Liquid Asset to Total Deposit Ratio	Equal variances assumed	4.254	.073	562	8	.590	92940	1.65426	- 4.74413	2.88533	
	Equal variances not assumed			562	4.851	.599	92940	1.65426	- 5.22130	3.36250	

Source: Compiled by researcher

Observation: it is observed that the significant p value is 0.073 greater than 0.05 than equal variance assumed is 0.599 greater than 0.05 then null hypothesis is accepted.

# **Findings and Conclusions**

From the above analysis the following outcomes are found on the financial performance of SBI and HDFC bank:

- The capital and capital adequacy ratio for both the banks are more than Basel norms for bank. So, they are satisfactory for both SBI and HDFC banks.
- SBI has higher debt equity ratio of than HDFC. SBI is trying to taking advantage of financial leverage and is also exposed to greater financial risk. HDFC is quite risk averse and trying to provide high margin of safety to the depositors.
- HDFC bank has higher asset turnover ratio. So, it has the ability to generate more revenue with respect to given

amount of total assets. SBI bank is less efficient in utilization of their assets.

- The loan ratio of SBI bank is higher than HDFC bank. So, SBI is taking more risks compared to HDFC.
- The net NPA to net advance ratio is higher for SBI than HDFC. It can be concluded that efficiency in management of advances given to customers are not good for SBI.
- The credit deposit ratio for HFDC is higher than SBI. It means that SBI is providing more credits to their customers from their deposits. It is clear that SBI is taking advantages of leverage and also generating more risks for the depositors.
- Net Profit per Employee is higher in HDFC bank than SBI. It may be concluded that the efficiency and productivity of human resources of HDFC bank is better than SBI.
- Net profit ratio is also high for HDFC bank.
   So, HDFC has a better profitability and better management efficiency than SBI.

- Both the dividend per share and earnings per share are high for HDFC. So, HFDC has better profit potentiality to satisfy their stakeholders than SBI.
- Return on Net worth is the overall barometer of overall performance of any institution. HDFC bank has high Return on Net worth ratio. It may be concluded that the performance of SBI is poor compared to HDFC bank.
- Return on assets is high for HDFC bank. It may be concluded that profitability on assets and managerial performance in asset utilization is better in HDFC bank than SBI.
- HDFC bank has higher interest spread ratio than SBI. It may be commented that HDFC bank has higher net interest earnings over the given amount of assets.
- HDFC bank has higher result for all the liquidity ratios like Current ration, liquid asset to total assets ratio and liquid assets to total deposit ratio. It may be commented that the liquidity position of HDFC bank is much more than SBI bank.

# Hypothesis Testing at a Glance

Null Hypothesis - There is no significant difference in							
the financial position and performance of SBI and							
HDFC bank in India							
SI.	T-Testing of null hypothesis on	Decision					
No.	the basis of CAMEL ratios						
1	Capital adequacy ratio	Reject					
2	Debt equity ratio	Reject					
3	Asset turnover ratio	Reject					
4	Loan ratio	Reject					
5	Net NPA to net advance ratio	Reject					
6	Credit deposit ratio	Accepted					
7	Net profit per employee	Rejected					
8	Net profit ratio	Rejected					
9	Dividend per share	Accepted					
10	Earnings per share	Accepted					
11	Return on net worth	Rejected					
12	Return on asset	Rejected					
13	Interest spread ratio	Rejected					
14	Liquid ratio	Accepted					
15	Liquid asset to total asset ratio	Accepted					
16	Liquid asset to total deposit ratio	Accepted					

In the present study, total 16 ratios have been measured under CAMEL model, the average result of HDFC bank is best in 14 cases. So, it is established that largest private sector bank HFDC bank has better financial performance and efficiency compared to largest public sector bank SBI.

# References

Sodhi,A.K. and Waraich, S. (2016). Fundamental Analysis of Selected Public and Private Sector Banks in India. *NMIMS Management Review*, XXVIII, 31-48.

Karri, H.K. et al. (2015). A Comparative Study on Financial Performance of Public Sector banks in India: An Analysis on CAMEL Model. *Munich Personal RePEc Archive*, Paper no. 62844. Online Available at <u>https://mpra.ub.uni-</u> muenchen.de/62844/

Goel, C. and Rekhi,C.B. (2013). A Comparative Study on the Performance of Selected Public Sector and Private Sector Banks in India. *Journal of Business Management & Social Sciences Research* (*JBM&SSR*), 2(7), 46-56.

Nagarkar,J.J. (2015). Analysis of Financial Performance of Banks in India. *Annual Research Journal of Symbiosis Centre for Management Studies, Pune,* 3, 26-37.

Majumder, T.H. and Rahaman, M.M. (2016). A CAMEL Model Analysis of Selected Banks in Bangladesh. International *Journal of Business and Technopreneurship*, 6(2), 233-266.

Mistry, D.S. and Savani,V. (2015). A Comparative Study of the Profitability Performance in the Banking Sector: Evidence from Indian Private Sector Bank. *XVI Annual Conference Proceedings*, 346-360.

Online Available at <u>http://www.internationalconference.in/XVI\_AIC/I</u>NDEX.HTM

Taqi, M and Mustafa, M.S.M. (2018). Financial Analysis of Public and Private Sector Banks of India: A Comparative Study of Punjab National Bank and HDFC Bank. *International Academic Journal of Business Management*, 5(1), 26-47.

Balaji, C. and Kumar, G.P. (2016). A Comparative Study on Financial Performance of Selected Public & Private Sector Banks in India. *Journal of Commerce and Trade*, XI (2), 89-96.

Annual reports of SBI from 2013-14 to 2017-18.

Annual Reports of HDFC from 2013-14 to 2017-18.  $\Omega$